

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2016/000362

Dated 01.02.2017

Appellant : Shri Santosh Kumar Kappu,
House No.105/C, Block-B,
Kiran Garden,
Uttam Nagar, New Delhi-110059.

Respondent : The Public Information Officer,
Delhi Police, O/o the PIO,
PHQ, MSO Building, 8th Floor,
I.P. Estate, New Delhi 110002.

Date of Hearing : 01.02.2017

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 30.11.2015
CPIO's reply : 04.12.2015
First appeal filed on : 31.12.2015
FAA's Order : Ten (10) FAA Orders
Second appeal filed on : 22.02.2016

ORDER

1. Shri Santosh Kumar Kappu filed an application dated 30.11.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Delhi Police Headquarters (PHQ) seeking information on six points, including (i) whether Transgenders begging in public places with or without misconduct is a cognizable offence or not and (ii) the number of FIRs registered in this regard. The RTI application was transferred by the PIO, Police Headquarters to all the districts of Delhi Police for providing information to the appellant.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 22.02.2016 before the Commission on the grounds that unsatisfactory and incomplete information has been furnished by the PIOs and First Appellate Authorities (FAAs). The appellant requested the Commission to redress his grievance.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Santosh Kumar Kappuand the respondent Shri Rajesh Kumar, ASI, PHQwere present in person.

4. The appellant submitted that he had sought the information from the CPIO. However, the CPIO instead of providing the information sought had transferred his RTI application to all the 12 districts of Delhi, (including the Traffic Cell, Delhi Police). The appellant further submitted that replies from all the districts have been received by him but some of the replies are contradictory. The appellant also stated that on some issues the CPIOs concerned have stated that the matter pertains to PHQ.

5. The respondent, (PHQ) submitted thatthe appellant's RTI application was transferred to the PIOs of all the districts of Delhi Police vide letter dated 04.12.2015. The respondent further submitted that information has been provided by all the CPIOs vide letter dated 04.01.2016.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the partiesand perusing the records,observes that on some issues the replies provided by the respondents are contradictory. In view of this, the Commission directs the CPIO, PHQ to provide a consolidated reply to the appellant within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer